

Appendix

Constraint definitions

- (1)
 - a. DEPS: Assign -X violation for every activity X of segment S that is present in the output but not the input.
 - b. DEP_V: Assign -X violation for every activity X of vowel V that is present in the output but not the input.
 - c. DEP_μ: Assign -X violation for every activity X of mora μ V that is present in the output but not the input.
 - d. FTBIN_σ: Assign -X violation for every φ_X that is not binary on the σ-level.
 - e. STW: Assign -X violation for every heavy σ which is not in head-position of a head-φ_X.
 - f. WTS: Assign -X violation for every head-σ in head-φ_X that is not heavy.
 - g. PRIO: Assign -1 violation for every realization of allomorph B given the preference order A ≫ B.

Realization of a floating feet

- must be realized/dominate material due to *FLOAT/φ→S (Wolf, 2007; Zimmermann, 2017c)
 - don't dominate elements of their 'own' morpheme (ALTERNATION; van Oostendorp, 2007, 2012)
 - in German, they may never shift the (lexical) stress of the base that was optimized in an earlier stratum, due to FAITH_{STR} (Kiparsky, 2011; Bermúdez-Otero, in preparation)
 - they must be realized adjacent to the 'rest' of the morpheme due to CONTIG (2)
- (2) CONTIG (Zimmermann, 2017c)
Assign -1 violation for every element that does not belong to morpheme A and is not dominated by material of morpheme A but is preceded and followed by material that belongs to A or is dominated by material of A.

References

- Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo (in preparation), *Stratal Optimality Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Bonet, Eulàlia (2004), 'Morph insertion and allomorphy in Optimality Theory', *Journal of English Studies* 4(2), 73–104.
- Bonet, Eulàlia, Maria-Rosa Lloret and Joan Mascaró (2007), 'Allomorph selection and lexical preferences: Two case studies', *Lingua* 117(6), 903–927.
- Downing, Laura J. (2006), *Canonical Forms in Prosodic Morphology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Eisenberg, Peter (1991), 'Syllabische struktur und wortakzent. prinzipien der prosodik deutscher wörter', *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft* 10(1).
- Faust, Noam and Paul Smolensky (2017), 'Activity as an alternative to autosegmental association', talk given at mfm 25, 27th May, 2017.
- Guekguezian, Peter Ara (2011), Topics in Chukchansi Yokuts phonology and morphology, Master's thesis, California State University, Fresno.
- Guekguezian, Peter Ara (2015), The great Chukchansi Yokuts iambic conspiracy, in A. Albright and M. A. Fullwood, eds, 'Proceedings of AMP 2014', LSA.
- Guekguezian, Peter Ara (2017), 'Templates as the interaction of recursive word structure and prosodic well-formedness', *Phonology* 34, 81–120.
- Kiparsky, Paul (2011), Compensatory lengthening, in C. Cairns and E. Raimy, eds, 'Handbook on the Syllable', Brill, Leiden, pp. 33–69.
- McCarthy, John and Alan Prince (1994), The emergence of the unmarked: Optimality in prosodic morphology, in M. González, ed., 'Proceedings of NELS 24', GLSA, Amherst, pp. 333–379.
- Prince, Alan and Paul Smolensky (1993/2002), 'Optimality theory: Constraint interaction in generative grammar', [first circulated as Prince & Smolensky (1993) Technical reports of the Rutgers University Center of Cognitive Science], ROA 537-0802.
- Rosen, Eric (2016), Predicting the unpredictable: Capturing the apparent semi-regularity of rendaku voicing in Japanese through Harmonic Grammar, in E. Clem, V. Dawson, A. Shen, A. H. Skilton, G. Bacon, A. Cheng and E. H. Maier, eds, 'Proceedings of BLS 42', Berkeley Linguistic Society, Berkeley, pp. 235–249.
- Smolensky, Paul and Matthew Goldrick (2016), 'Gradient symbolic representations in grammar: The case of French liaison', Ms, Johns Hopkins University and Northwestern University, ROA 1286.
- Urbanczyk, Suzanne (2006), 'Reduplicative Form and the Root-Affix Asymmetry', *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 24, 179–240.
- van Oostendorp, Marc (2007), Derived environment effects and consistency of exponence, in S. Blaho, P. Bye and M. Krämer, eds, 'Freedom of Analysis?', Mouton, Berlin, pp. 123–148.
- van Oostendorp, Marc (2012), 'Stress as a proclitic in Modern Greek', *Lingua* 122, 1165–1181.
- Walker, Rachel (2019), 'Gradient feature activation and the special status of coronals', talks, presented at PΦF 2019, April 05, 2019.
- Wiese, Richard (2001), 'Regular morphology vs. prosodic morphology? - the case of truncations in German', *Journal of Germanic Linguistics* 13, 131–177.
- Wolf, Matthew (2007), For an autosegmental theory of mutation, in L. Bateman, M. O'Keefe, E. Reilly, and A. Werle, eds, 'UMOP 32: Papers in Optimality Theory III', GLSA, Amherst, MA, pp. 315–404.
- Zimmermann, Eva (2017a), 'Being exceptional is being weak: tonal exceptions in San Miguel el Grande Mixtec', poster, presented at AMP 2017, New York, September 16, 2017.
- Zimmermann, Eva (2017b), 'Gradient symbols and gradient markedness: a case study from Mixtec tones', talk, given at the 25th mfm, 27th May, 2017.
- Zimmermann, Eva (2017c), *Morphological Length and Prosodically Defective Morphemes*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.