

# First come – first served: The serial interaction of feet and tone

Eva Zimmermann  
Universität Leipzig



UNIVERSITÄT  
LEIPZIG

mfm 27, 2019, Manchester

## Main Claim

- opposing phonological preferences in HS can be resolved by a ‘first come-first served’-principle: **Optimization of underlying elements has priority over optimization of inserted structure**
- a serial optimization account allows to predict **positionally restricted tone/accent systems** from the order between foot parsing and tone association
- this correctly predicts
  - **non-local templatic accent systems** as in Mayo and Tagalog that are impossible to capture in a SPOT alternative and
  - the **asymmetric distribution of different defaults** in Goizueta Basque that are not as easily captured in a SPOT system.

## Positionally Restricted Accent Systems

- lexical contrast for pitch: Prototypical tone
- positionally restricted, usually only a single V/σ: Prototypical stress
  - A special third pattern with an ‘accent’ mark? (e.g. for Japanese McCawley (1968); Ross (1985); Haraguchi (1991); Kubozono (1993))
  - ‘accent’ patterns always reanalyzable as **tonal and/or metrical patterns and their interaction** (Hyman, 2009; Köhnlein, 2019)

# 1. Non-local templates in Mayo

# Mayo (Uto-Aztecan; Hagberg (1989, 1990, 2006); Hyman (2009); Spahr (2016))

- one vowel in every word is realized with a higher pitch

(1) *Mayo accent* (Hagberg, 2006, 73)

Accented		Unaccented	
ch <u>ú</u> pnake	‘will harvest’ TRNS	ponn <u>á</u> ke	‘will play’ TRNS
h <u>í</u> -chupnake	‘will harvest’ INTR	hi-p <u>ó</u> nnake	‘will play’ INTR
h <u>í</u> -hi-chupnake	‘will always harvest’	hi-h <u>í</u> -ponnake	‘will always play’ INTR
ch <u>í</u> knake	‘will sweep’ TRNS	wis <u>é</u> ka	‘sawing’ TRNS
h <u>í</u> -chiknake	‘will sweep’ INTR	hi-w <u>í</u> seka	‘sawing’ INTR
h <u>í</u> -hi-chiknake	‘will always sweep’ INTR	hi-h <u>í</u> -wiseka	‘always sawing’

- a **non-local templatic** accent system: The stem determines the stress pattern for the whole word and is not necessarily stressed itself

## Serial Account in a Nutshell

### Conflict for tones as head of a $\varphi$ (e.g. de Lacy, 2002)

Tones are preferably initial:

H  
|  
( h i ) <sub>$\varphi$</sub>  c h u p n a k e

Iambic feet are preferably binary:

H  
|  
( h i p o n ) <sub>$\varphi$</sub>  n a k e

(independent evidence for the assumed  $\varphi$  structure from loanwords and reduplication)

### Underlying contrast: Stems with/without a floating H-tone

- underlying floating H-tones are associated to their preferred position before feet are built
- without a floating H-tone, the unmarked foot is created before a default H is inserted

## Steps in a Serial Account of Mayo

## (2) 1. Morpheme Concatenation

	H
h i - p o n n a k e	h i - c h u p n a k e

## 2. Floating H-association

	H
h i   p o n n a k e	h i   c h u p n a k e

## 3. Foot assignment

	H
( h i p o n ) <sub>φ</sub> n a k e	( h i ) <sub>φ</sub> c h u p n a k e

## 4. Insertion of H on foot head

	H
( h i p o n ) <sub>φ</sub> n a k e	( h i ) <sub>φ</sub> c h u p n a k e

φ = ☺ - T = ☹

φ = ☹ - T = ☺

## Harmonic Serialism (McCarthy, 2008*a,b*, 2010; Elfner, 2009, 2016; Moore-Cantwell, 2011; McCarthy et al., 2012; Torres-Tamarit, 2012; Pruitt, 2012; Pater, 2012)

- GEN is restricted by **gradualness** and there is a **GEN-EVAL-Loop** that continues as long as a new step is **harmonically improving**
- possible one-step operations in the domain of feet and tone: (McCarthy et al., 2012; Breteler, 2018)
  1. Link a tone to a TBU
  2. Insert a tone and link it to a TBU
  3. Build a foot
  4. Delink a tone from a TBU



## Constraints 1

- (3) *H associates and wants to be initial*
- a. \*FLTH  
Assign \* for each tone not associated to a TBU.
  - b. ALIGN(H,L)  
Assign \* for every TBU that intervenes between the left word edge and the leftmost TBU a tone H is associated to.
- (4) *Foot is built and wants to be binary*
- a. HAVE $\varphi$ ! (after (Ito and Mester, 2009))  
Assign \* for every prosodic word that does not dominate a foot.
  - b. FTBIN  
Assign \* for each  $\varphi$  that is not binary on the  $\sigma$  level.

## Constraints 2

(5) *Every foot needs a H and every H is in a foot*

a.  $\varphi\text{HD} \rightarrow \text{H}$  (=LICENSE(MIN-R, H) (Breteler, 2018, 20))

For each MinFt, assign \* if its rightmost syllable is not associated to a H tone.

b.  $\text{H} \rightarrow \varphi\text{HD}$  (=LICENSE(MIN-R, H))

For each H, assign \* if it is not associated to a syllable that is rightmost in a MinFt.

(6) *Feet are always left-aligned and iambic*

a. RHT:I (Kager, 1999)

Assign \* for every foot with initial prominence.

b. ALIGN( $\varphi, \text{L}; \omega, \text{L}$ )

Assign \* for every  $\sigma$  that intervenes between the leftmost  $\sigma$  in a  $\varphi$  and the left word edge.

## HS Optimization: Step 1, No Underlying H

(7) *Foot building*

		*FLTH	H-> $\phi$ HD	FTBIN	HAVE $\phi$ !	$\phi$ HD->H	ALIGN(H,L)
	h i - p o n n a k e						
a.	h i p o n n a k e				*!		
b.	(h i p o n) $\phi$ n a k e					*	
c.	(h i) $\phi$ p o n n a k e			*!		*	
d.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ h i \end{array} p o n n a k e$		*!		*		


## HS Optimization: Step 2, No Underlying H

(8) *Default H*

		*FLTH	H-> $\phi$ HD	FTBIN	HAVE $\phi$ !	$\phi$ HD->H	ALIGN(H,L)
	(h i p o n) $\phi$ n a k e						
a.	(h i p o n) $\phi$ n a k e					*!	
b.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\text{h i p o n})_{\phi} \text{n a k e} \end{array}$						*
c.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\text{h i p o n})_{\phi} \text{n a k e} \end{array}$		*!			*	

## HS Optimization: Step 1, Underlying H

(9) *Tone association*

		*FLTH	H-> $\phi$ HD	FTBIN	HAVE $\phi$ !	$\phi$ HD->H	ALIGN(H,L)
	H h i - c h u p n a k e						
a.	H h i - c h u p n a k e	*!			*		
b.	H ( h i c h u p ) $\phi$ n a k e	*!				*	
 c.	H h i c h u p n a k e		*		*		
d.	H h i c h u p n a k e		*		*		*!

## HS Optimization: Step 2, Underlying H

(10) *Foot building*

		*FLTH	H-> $\phi$ HD	FTBIN	HAVE $\phi$ !	$\phi$ HD->H	ALIGN(H,L)
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \text{h i c h u p n a k e} \end{array}$						
a.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \text{h i c h u p n a k e} \end{array}$		*!		*		
b.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\text{h i})_{\phi} \text{c h u p n a k e} \end{array}$			*			
d.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\text{h i p o n})_{\phi} \text{n a k e} \end{array}$		*!			*	

## HS Account for Mayo: RotB

(11) OCP  $\gg$  \*FLTH, MAXFLT, DEPAL(H- $\mu$ )<sub>AFFIX</sub>  $\gg$  H-> $\varphi$ Hd  $\gg$  FTBIN  $\gg$  HAVE $\varphi$ !  $\gg$   $\varphi$ Hd->H  $\gg$  ALIGN(H,L), MAX<sub>AL</sub>, DAL, DEPH\*

(12) *Predicted outcomes for some bi-/trisyllabic inputs with 0-2 H's*

1.	$\sigma \sigma$ (H) ( $\sigma\sigma$ )	A: VV	8.	$\sigma \sigma \sigma$ (H) ( $\sigma\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV	15.	$\sigma \sigma \sigma$ (H) (H) ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV
2.	H H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	U: VV	9.	H H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV	16.	H H H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV
3.	H H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma\sigma$ )	A: VV	10.	H H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV	17.	$\sigma_{Af} \sigma \sigma$ (H) ( $\sigma_{Af}$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV
4.	H H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma\sigma$ )	A: VV	11.	H H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV	18.	H H $\sigma_{Af} \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma_{Af}$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV
5.	HH H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	U: VV	12.	H H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV	19.	H H $\sigma_{Af} \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma_{Af}$ ) $\sigma$	A: VV
6.	HH H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	U: VV	13.	HH H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV	20.	H H $\sigma_{Af} \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma_{Af}$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV
7.	HH H $\sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma$	U: VV	14.	HH H $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV	21.	H H H $\sigma_{Af} \sigma \sigma$ ( $\sigma_{Af}$ ) $\sigma \sigma$	U: VV

(\*DoY derivation for underlyingly associated H's: H-deletion –  $\varphi$ -building – H-epenthesis)

## Mayo in a Parallel OT system?

(13) If  $MAX_{AL} \gg FTBIN \gg ALIGN(H,L)$ :

	underlying	output
a. 'Unaccented' (=no H)	p o n n a k e	( p o n n <sup>H</sup> a ) <sub>φ</sub> k e
	h i - p o n n a k e	( h i p o n <sup>H</sup> ) <sub>φ</sub> n a k e
b. 'Accented' (=associated H)	c h <sup>H</sup> u p n a k e	( c h <sup>H</sup> u ) <sub>φ</sub> p n a k e
	h i - c h <sup>H</sup> u p n a k e	( h i c h <sup>H</sup> u ) <sub>φ</sub> p n a k e

- apparently only solution:  $ALIGN(H, L_{MORPH}) \gg FTBIN \gg ALIGN(H, L_{EPENTH})$



## Accent system in Tagalog (French, 1988; Schachter and Otanes, 1983; Hagberg, 2006)

(14) *Mirror image of Mayo at the right edge (Hagberg, 2006, 176)*

A. Stems with penult accent		B. Stems with final accent	
bá:sa	‘read’	ʔupóʔ	‘sit’
ba:sá-hin	‘to read’	ʔupoʔ-án	‘sits on X’

(15) *Exceptional suffixes (Hagberg, 2006, 179-80)*

a.  $\emptyset$ -Adjectivizer: A-stems=final accent

bí:his    ‘way of dressing’    bi:hís    ‘dressed up’

b. Nominal: A-stems=final accent; B-stems=penult accent

húgas    ‘to wash’                    hugas-án    ‘place for washing’  
 aklát    ‘book’                                aklát-an    ‘library’

## HS Account for Tagalog

(16)	A-stem	B-stem	A-stem+ADJ	A-stem+NOM	B-stem+NOM
		H	H	L	H L
	ba sa - hi n	?upo? - an	bi:hi s -	huga s - an	aklat - an
1. Floating L-association					
	-	-	-	huga s an	aklat an
2. Floating H-association					
	-	?upo? an	bi:hi s	-	aklat an
3. Foot assignment					
	ba (sa hi n) <sub>φ</sub>	?upo ( ? an ) <sub>φ</sub>	bi: ( hi s ) <sub>φ</sub>	huga ( s an ) <sub>φ</sub>	ak ( lat an ) <sub>φ</sub>
4. Turning Head-tone into H					
	-	-	-	huga ( s an ) <sub>φ</sub>	-
5. Insertion of H on foot head					
	ba ( sa hi n ) <sub>φ</sub>	-	-	-	-

## 2. Different Defaults in Goizueta Basque

## Four Prosodic Patterns (Hualde et al., 2008)

(17) *Prosodic contrasts in Goizueta Basque (Hualde et al., 2008, 3)*

	Rising pitch		Falling pitch			
2nd $\sigma$	A.	gizón alába emákume	‘man’ ‘daughter’ ‘woman’	C.	purè tipùla eskòla	‘puree’ ‘onion’ ‘school’
1st $\sigma$	B.	séme úme áma	‘son’ ‘children’ ‘mother’	D.	bàso mòro lèngusu	‘glass’ ‘Moor’ ‘cousin’

## Serial Account in a Nutshell

Conflict: Preferred positions for tones as head of a  $\varphi$ 

(18)	Foot binary $\sigma$	A. gizón	C. purè	$\varphi = \text{☺}$	T = $\text{☹}$
	Tone initial $\sigma$	B. séme	D. bàso	$\varphi = \text{☹}$	T = $\text{☺}$

Underlying contrast: Stems with/without a floating H/L

- floating L's: associated to their preferred position before feet are built
  - floating H's: associated to head of a binary foot after feet are built
  - no floating tones: default-H's are inserted after feet are built
  - associated tones on 1/2 V remain; others are deleted
- different defaults for underlying vs. inserted and L- vs. H-tones

## Steps in a Serial Account of Goizueta Basque

(19)

## Lexical representation

L	H		H
b a s o	g i z o n	p u r a	s e m e

## 1. Floating L-association

L	H		H
b a s o	g i z o n	p u r a	s e m e

## 2. Foot assignment

L	H		H
( b a ) <sub>φ</sub> s o	( g i z o n ) <sub>φ</sub>	( p u r a ) <sub>φ</sub>	( s e ) <sub>φ</sub> m e

## 3. Floating H-association

L	H		H
( b a ) <sub>φ</sub> s o	( g i z o n ) <sub>φ</sub>	( p u r a ) <sub>φ</sub>	( s e ) <sub>φ</sub> m e

## 4. Insertion of default-L on foot head

L	H	L	H
( b a ) <sub>φ</sub> s o	( g i z o n ) <sub>φ</sub>	( p u r a ) <sub>φ</sub>	( s e ) <sub>φ</sub> m e

## HS Account for Goizueta Basque

- (20) \*FLT<sub>L</sub>, DEPL  $\gg$  HAVE $\varphi$ !  $\gg$  \*FLT<sub>H</sub>,  $\varphi$ <sub>HD</sub>->H, H-> $\varphi$ <sub>HD</sub>  $\gg$  FTBIN, ALIGN(H,L),  
MAX<sub>AL</sub>, DAL, DEPH

- (21) *Predicted outcomes for bi-/trisyllabic stems with 0-1 tone(s)*

1.	$\sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V	7.	$\sigma \begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀	13.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array} \sigma$	C: V̀V̀
2.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V	8.	$\sigma \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V	14.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array} \sigma$	C: V̀V̀
3.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V	9.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	A: V́V	15.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array}$	A: V́V
4.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	B: V́V	10.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V	16.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma \begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀
5.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V	11.	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} H \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \sigma \end{array}$	B: V́V	12.	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ \sigma \end{array} \sigma \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} L \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V

## Goizueta Basque in a Parallel OT system?

(22) HAVE $\phi$ !, \*FLTL, \*FLTH,  $\phi$ HD $\rightarrow$ H, H $\rightarrow\phi$ HD, DEPL,  $\gg$  DEPH, MAX<sub>AL</sub>, DAL  $\gg$  FTBIN  $\gg$  ALIGN(H,L)

(23) *Predicted outcomes for bi-/trisyllabic stems with 0-1 tone(s)*

1.	$\sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V́	7.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀	13.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀
2.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V́	8.	$\sigma \sigma \sigma$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	A: V́V́	14.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀
3.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma) \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀	9.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	A: V́V́	15.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	A: V́V́
4.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	B: V́V́	10.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀	16.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	C: V̀V̀
5.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V̀	11.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{H} \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \sigma \end{array}$	B: V́V́	12.	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ \sigma \sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{L} \\   \\ (\sigma)\sigma \sigma \end{array}$	D: V̀V̀



## Predictions of HS and SPOT and the Distribution of Accent

(24) *Different distribution of 4 patterns among 16 basic stem types*

	A: V́V	B: V́V	C: V̀V̀	D: V̀V̀	
SPOT	6	2	6	2	
HS	6	2	4	4	→ frequency of classes in G.Basque

## HS vs. SPOT: Different factorial typologies

- given the same constraint set, the positions for newly associating tones (=epenthetic, floating)
  - can be different (**=different defaults**) in HS.
  - are identical (**=a single default**) in SPOT.
- Cf. excerpt of factorial typology (25) (done in OTHelp (Staubs et al., 2010))
  - for iambic languages with one initial  $\varphi$
  - for 16 inputs (bi-/trisyllabic; 0-1 tone that is associated or not)

## Defaults for Newly Associated Tones: Abstract of a Factorial Typology

(25) *SPOT* (12 languages out of 394)

No Tone		Floating Tone				Reassociation into $\varphi$	
$\sigma\sigma$	$\sigma\sigma\sigma$	$\sigma\sigma fH$	$\sigma\sigma fL$	$\sigma\sigma\sigma fH$	$\sigma\sigma\sigma fL$	$\sigma\sigma\sigma H3$	$\sigma\sigma\sigma L3$
H2	H2	H2	L2	H2	L2	H2	L2
L2	H2	H2	L2	H2	L2	H2	L2
H1	H1	H1	L1	H1	L1	H2	L2
L1	H1	H1	L1	H1	L1	H2	L2
H1	H1	H1	L1	H1	L1	H1	L1
L1	H1	H1	L1	H1	L1	H1	L1

(26) *HS* (16 languages out of 161 unique ones)

H2	H2	H2	L2	H2	L2	H2	L2
H2	H2	H2	L1	H2	L1	H2	L2
H2	H2	H1	L2	H1	L2	H2	L2
H2	H2	H1	L1	H1	L1	H2	L2
L2	H2	H2	L2	H2	L2	H2	L2
L2	H2	H2	L1	H2	L1	H2	L2
L2	H2	H1	L2	H1	L2	H2	L2
L2	H2	H1	L1	H1	L1	H2	L2

### 3. Summary

## Summary and Discussion

- if conflicting preferences for two suprasegmental elements are resolved by serial optimization, underlying elements can be optimized earlier than inserted ones
- this predicts **templatic non-local accent** in Mayo and Tagalog
  - in spirit similar to the Lexical Phonology account in Hagberg (2006) but based on a single ranking of independently motivated phonological constraints
- and the **co-existence of different defaults** in Goizueta Basque

## References

- Breteler, Jereon (2018), A foot-based typology of tonal reassociation: Perspectives from synchrony and learnability, PhD thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- de Lacy, Paul (2002), 'The interaction of tone and stress in optimality theory', *Phonology* **19**, 1–32.
- Elfner, Emily Jane (2009), 'Syllabification and stress-epenthesis interactions in Harmonic Serialism', Ms. University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Elfner, Emily Jane (2016), Stress-epenthesis interactions in harmonic serialism, in J. McCarthy and J. Pater, eds, 'Harmonic Grammar and Harmonic Serialism', *Equinox*, pp. 261–300.
- French, Koleen Matsuda (1988), *Insights into Tagalog reduplication, infixation, and stress from nonlinear phonology*, Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Hagberg, Larry (1989), Floating accent in Mayo, in S. Fulmer, M. Ishihara and W. Wiswall, eds, 'Proceedings of the Arizona Phonology Conference 2', University of Arizona.
- Hagberg, Larry (1990), 'Stem, word and phrase as morpho-syntactic strata in Mayo', SIL Language and Culture Archives.

- Hagberg, Lawrence Raymond (2006), *An Autosegmental Theory of Stress*, SIL International.
- Haraguchi, Shosuke (1991), *A theory of Stress and Accent*, Foris, Dordrecht.
- Hualde, José Ignacio, Oihana Lujanbio and Francisco Torreira (2008), 'Lexical tone and stress in Goizueta Basque', *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* **38**, 1–24.
- Hyman, Larry M. (2009), 'How (not) to do phonological typology: the case of pitch-accent', *Language Sciences* **31**, 213–328.
- Ito, Junko and Armin Mester (2009), The extended prosodic word, in B.Kabak and J.Grijzenhout, eds, 'Phonological domains: Universals and Derivations', Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 135–194.
- Kager, René (1999), *Optimality Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Köhnlein, Björn (2019), Metrically conditioned pitch accent in Uspanteko, in R.Goedemans, J.Heinz and H.van der Hulst, eds, 'The study of word stress and accent: theories, methods and data', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 293–322.
- Kubozono, Haruo (1993), *The Organization of Japanese Prosody*, Kurosio, Tokyo.

- McCarthy, John (2008a), 'The gradual path to cluster simplification', *Phonology* **25**, 271–319.
- McCarthy, John (2008b), 'The serial interaction of stress and syncope', *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* pp. 499–546.
- McCarthy, John (2010), 'Studying Gen', *Journal of the Phonetic Society of Japan* **13**, 3–12.
- McCarthy, John, Kevin Mullin and Brian Smith (2012), Implications of harmonic serialism for lexical tone association, in B.Botma and R.Noske, eds, 'Phonological explorations: Empirical, theoretical and diachronic issues', de Gruyter.
- McCawley, James (1968), *The phonological component of a grammar of Japanese*, Mouton, The Hague.
- Moore-Cantwell, Claire (2011), 'Contexts for epenthesis in Harmonic Serialism', talk, given at the 19th mfm.
- Pater, Joe (2012), Serial harmonic grammar and Berber syllabification, in T.Borowsky, S.Kawahara, T.Shinya and M.Sugahara, eds, 'Prosody Matters: Essays in Honor of Lisa Selkirk', Equinox.
- Pruitt, Kathryn (2012), Stress in Harmonic Serialism, PhD thesis, UMass Amherst.

- Ross, Martin John Elroy (1985), Japanese lexical phonology and morphology, PhD thesis, University of British Columbia.
- Schachter, Paul and Fe T. Otanes (1983), *Tagalog reference grammar*, University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Spahr, Christopher (2016), Contrastive representations in non-segmental phonology, PhD thesis, University of Toronto.
- Staub, Robert, Michael Becker, Christopher Potts, Patrick Pratt, John McCarthy and Joe Pater (2010), 'OT-Help 2.0. software package.', Amherst, MA: University of Massachusetts Amherst.
- Torres-Tamarit, Francesc (2012), Syllabification and Opacity in Harmonic Serialism, PhD thesis, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.



## Default Accent in Mayo

- Spanish loans are (with very few exceptions) stressed on the second vowel

(27) *Spanish Loanwords in Mayo (Hagberg, 2006, 79)*

Spanish	Mayo	
váca(s)	wakás	‘cow’
cábra	kabára	‘sheep’
domíngo	lomínko	‘Sunday’
diós	lióh	‘God’

## Mayo: An Independent Argument for the Assumed Foot Structure

- bimoraic reduplication: asymmetry for accented/unaccented stems if the first syllable is open

(28) *Mayo reduplication* (p.135+137)

a. *Unaccented stems: C<sub>1</sub>VC<sub>2</sub>*

noká	nok~nóka	‘speak’	*non~nóka
bwaná	bwan~bwána	‘cry’	*bwab~bwána

b. *Accented stems: C<sub>1</sub>VC<sub>1</sub>*

nóka	nón~noka	‘know language’	*nók~noka
tíwe	tít~tiwe	‘be ashamed’	*tíw~tiwe

→ the base for reduplication is a  $\varphi$  (Hagberg, 2006)

- unaccented: (noká) $_{\varphi}$
- accented: (nó) $_{\varphi}$ ka

## Mayo account in Hagberg (2006)

- accented words have a floating stress autosegment
- linking rule links \* L to R at every cycle and delinking rule delinks it at the end of every cycle
- foot building is also cyclic (=reduplication at every cycle possible)
- the delinking rule is turned off at the end of the lexical cycles: \* is hence linked to leftmost stress-bearing unit for every word containing an accented stem
- postlexical stress insertion and linking inside foot R to L (=accounts for default stress on second syllable)
- ➔ based on coexisting L-R and R-L linking rules in a single language
- ➔ based on principles like the ‘Degenerate Foot Principle’ demanding that the presence of a ‘lexical accent linked to any element that is being incorporated into a foot forces that foot to become degenerate’ (p.19) that seem problematic from a cross-language perspective